

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT DF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weehington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Н P53521 05/27/92 KWAK 07/888,857 FRAHMEXAMINER E1M1/0516 ROBERT E. BUSHNELL LEVY, BUSHNELL, ZITO & GRANDINETTI 1511 K ST., N.W. STE. 425 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2108 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 05/16/94 DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your epplication. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS						
	pplicetion hes been exemined	-			-	This ection is made final.
A shortaned stetutory period for response to this action is set to axpire month(s), deys from the dete of this letter.  Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become ebandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133						
Part I	THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:					
1.52	lotica of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.					
3. 🗆	Notice of Art Cited by Applicent, PTO-1449.  4. Notice of Informel Patent Appli					
5. 🗆	Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.					
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1 <b>½</b>	Claims	1-38				ara pending in the application.
	Of the ebove, claims				era	withdrewn from consideration.
2. 🗆	Claims					_ hava been cencallad.
3×Z	Claims	23-33				_ ere ellowed.
45	Claims	1-22	and i	<u> 34-38</u>		are rejected.
5. 🗆	Clelms					era objected to.
6.	Cleims			era subje	ect to rastricti	on or election requirement.
7.52	This epplication has been flied with informel drewings undar 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which era accepteble for examinetion purposes.					
_	Formal drawings are requirad in response to this Office action.					
9. 🗆	The corrected or substituta drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drewings ere ecceptebla not ecceptable (see axplanetion or Notice ra Patent Drawing, PTO-948).					
10.	The proposed edditional or substitute sheet(s) of drewings, filed on has (heve) been approved by the axeminer disepproved by the examiner (see axplenation).					
11. 💢	The proposed drewing correction, filled on $1-8\cdot93$ , has been $\mathbb{Z}$ approved. $\square$ disapproved (see explenation).					
12. 😾	Acknowladgment is mada of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received on the claim for priority under U.S.C.					
	been filed in parant application	ı, serlel no		; fllad on		
13.	Since this epplication appears to be eccordence with the prectica unda	e in condition for allowenca r Ex perta Quayle, 1935 C.D.	except for for 11; 453 O.G.	mal mettars, pi 213.	rosecution as	to the marits is closad in
14.	Other					

Serial Number: 07/888,857 -2-

Art Unit: 2108

1. The drawings are objected to because Figure 3 is not designated by a legend such as "Prior Art". The legend is necessary in order to clarify what applicant's invention is. MPEP § 608.02(g). Correction is required.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format of an Abstract of the Disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said", should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The recitation of "means" in lines 4 and 6 is improper.

- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The description of figures 1-3 of a conventional

Serial Number: 07/888,857 -3-

Art Unit: 2108

color video printer at pages 3-8 of the detailed description of the invention section of the specification should be in the "background of the invention" section. Appropriate correction is required.

6. Claims 1-22 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 lines 6-11 are indefinite because it is not clear what the differences are between the memory means, the means comprising internal memory means, and the internal memory means. Also, the functions of these plural means do not clearly correspond.

Claim 2 line 3, "read-from" is indefinite.

Claim 4, "further comprised of said raster scan being representative" is indefinite and idiomatically incorrect.

"further comprised of" should be --wherein--, and the original claim language of lines 2 and 3 should be reinstated to correct the problem.

Claim 5 line 1, "operative to" is indefinite.

Claim 7, the relationship between "input video data" of claim 7 and the first and/or second data of claim 1 is ambiguous.

Serial Number: 07/888,857

Art Unit: 2108

Claim 9 line 5, the "means for storing..., reading..., accommodating..., applying..., and enabling..." is indefinite because it is not supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to accomplish the function;

line 6, "accomodating" is indefinite.

Claim 10 lines 3-4 are indefinite for a failure to recite the cooperational relationship of the internal memory and the plural means of lines 3-4.

Claim 15 lines 3-4, "the displaying means" lacks antecedent basis and a corresponding function.

Claim 17 line 11, "said chrominance signals" lacks proper antecedent basis;

line 13, "a converted said sync signal" has improper antecedent basis;

lines 14-15, "a converted chrominance components" and "converted external color signals" have improper antecedent basis;

there is no cooperational relationship between the first, second, and third selection signals, the mode singal, and the color video printer.

Claim 18, there is no cooperational relationship between the recording mode, printing mode, and monitoring made signals, and the color video printer.

Serial Number: 07/888,857

Art Unit: 2108

It is suggested that the control means of claims 17 and 18 be inserted into the claims to provide an interelationship of elements.

- 7. The term(s) in claim 10 lines 3, 4, and 7 data conversion, printing address generating, recording address generating, and control are used to modify the word "means", thus purporting to conform to 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph. However, 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph, requires that the term(s) specify a function to be performed, thus enabling a determination of the structural equivalent thereof.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Frahm whose telephone number is (703) 308-1317.

EF April 29, 1994

BENJAMIN R. FULLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 218

-5-